

Advancing NIH Research on the Health of Women: A 2021 Conference

### The Future of Cervical Cancer Prevention in the United States: The Realities of Evidence Beyond Innovation

Cosette M. Wheeler, PhD

**Regents Professor of Pathology and Obstetrics & Gynecology** 

University of New Mexico Comprehensive Cancer Center

Albuquerque, New Mexico

October 20, 2021

#HealthOfWomen2021

## **Disclosures**

- I have received funds from NIH grants and cooperative agreements related to cervical screening and triage and HPV vaccination through the University of New Mexico
- I have also received reagents and equipment from Roche Molecular Systems, Roche/Ventana Medical Systems, Hologic and Genera Biosystems, research support from Hologic and Becton Dickinson (BD) through the University of New Mexico, and personal fees from BD

Worldwide, the recognition that Human Papillomaviruses (HPVs) cause virtually all cervical cancer enabled innovations of HPV vaccination and HPV-based screening

## The World Health Organization has promulgated accelerating the elimination of cervical cancer as a global public health problem





ທີ່ອົງຄົນເອເຊຍທີ່ໄດ້ຮັບການກວດຫານະເລງມົດລຸກ ມີຈານວນດຳຫີສຸດ. ຈົ່ງໃດ້ໃປກວດ Pap Test ເພື່ອປອ້ງກັນໂຕຫາ່ນເອງ.







**World Health Organization (WHO)** <u>Call to Action</u> <u>A Global Strategy for cervical cancer elimination</u>

**Dr Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus Director-General** 

To achieve a threshold of 4 cervical cancer cases per 100 000 women-years

The following 2030 targets were set :



- <u>Vaccination</u>: **90%** of girls fully vaccinated with the HPV vaccine by the age of 15;
- <u>Screening</u>: 70% of women screened using a high-performance test by the age of 35, and again by the age of 45;
- <u>Treatment</u>: **90%** of women with pre-cancer treated and 90% of women with invasive cancer managed.

#### To eliminate cervical cancer within the next century will these targets be reached in the US?



# Cervical Screening and Vaccination is a MAJOR Health Care Investment but cervical cancer mortality rates have not yet changed for multiple decades ?



Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, Census 2000 Summary File 1 and 2010 Census Summary File 1.

Cervical Cancer Prevention has been estimated to cost up to \$7-8 billion annually Vaccination adds \$1.5 to 2 billion additional costs for 11-12 alone Vaccination and screening must be integrated

OR it will increase cost by billions annually as will coverage of catch-up vaccination

In the United States, cervical cancer remains primarily a failure 1- to screen women and ....

2- to a lesser extent, a failure to follow-up women with abnormal results

- Both of these failures have been associated with inequities
  - racial and ethnic minorities
  - lower educational attainment and health literacy
  - higher levels of poverty
  - living in rural vs urban settings
  - lower levels of acculturation including language
  - being uninsured or covered by public vs private or military insurance
  - not having a usual source of care or medical "home"
  - older age



## The focus of the last several decades has been on HPV-based technological innovations to improve primary and secondary prevention

- **PRIMARY PREVENTION (HPV vaccination)** 
  - Licensure of HPV vaccine (3 dose [2006] to 2 dose [2016] to 1 dose strategies [ongoing])
  - Substantial research, industry and healthcare dollars have been expended to overcome HPV vaccine uptake barriers to achieve higher population HPV vaccine coverage but we may be coming to a plateau



#### **Estimated vaccination coverage with selected vaccines and doses among adolescents** aged 13–17 years, by year — National Immunization Survey–Teen, USA, 2006–2020



#### New Mexico-specific Adolescent Vaccination 2020 Data Tracks Nationally

≥1 Tdap	89.3 (84.9–92.6)
≥1 MenACWY	85.2 (80.1–89.2)
≥1 HPV	77.4 (71.9–82.0)
HPV UpToDate (UTD)	59.2 (53.0–65.1)

Will HPV vaccination exceed 70-75% without mandates or

correlates with getting cervical

Ultimately, cervical cancer may become a disease of those that are unvaccinated and unscreened.

### **US HPV Vaccine Population Coverage is Variable by State**

≥2 Doses HPV Vaccination Coverage among Adolescents Age 13-17 Years, 2019, National Immunization Survey-Teen



# **Despite lower than targeted HPV vaccine coverage in the US**, major reductions in HPV infections have been observed

The Impact of HPV Vaccination on HPV Infections



Similarly there was a relative reduction in the percentage of HSIL (high-grade Pap test) and CIN2+ (high-grade precancers)

Among women aged 21-25 years, where vaccine impact is observable, disease declined by year of cervical screening across time, for New Mexico's screening population





Julia ML Brotherton, Cosette M. Wheeler, Gary Clifford, et al.

<sup>12</sup> *Prev Med* 2021 Mar;144:106293. doi: 10.1016/j.ypmed.2020.106293. Epub 2020 Oct 17

### **SECONDARY PREVENTION (Screening, Diagnosis, Pre-cancer Treatment)**

### Over several decades, US research and health care resources have focused on

- Efficiencies in screening and triage tests/methods, but have **not focused on population** screening coverage and not on (under-screening or over-screening)
  - Co-tests (both HPV+Pap) HPV alone cervical screening Pap Tests

Further test innovations (HPV testing with genotyping, p16/Ki-67 dual immunostaining, methylation • and HPV sequence variants) to differentiate risk



Delivery of cervical screening tests by self-sampling (Swab or Urine) to extend screening reach but selftesting is not approved in the U.S. and must consider any harms from losses in overall health benefits obtained through provider encounters Pap smear vs. HPV self-sampling



Improving the sensitivity of colposcopy (4-quadrant biopsy) and biomarkers to improve on diagnosis and predict cancer risks



# When women do screen, screening and follow-up management algorithms are complex for clinicians and are different for women by different age and different risk profiles



### Failures: Screening and Follow-up (Diagnosis, Treatment & Surveillance)



Failures to follow-up with diagnostic biopsy or to excise precancer within 6 months of abnormal Pap cytology shows decreased follow-up in older women (ASC-US, LSIL, HSIL+, ASC-H, and AGC)



<sup>16</sup> NMHPVPR Unpublished Data

Step	Failures	Causes	Potential Interventions
Screening	Over-Screening	Woman:	Woman:
	<ul> <li>Under-Screening</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Lack of information</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Mass media/local education</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Non-Participation</li> </ul>	• Older age	<ul> <li>No-cost opportunistic screening at other</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>Practical &amp; Financial barriers</li> </ul>	healthcare and non-traditional opportunities
		<ul> <li>Acculturation/Language</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Multi-lingual health workers</li> </ul>
		• Fear of the exam	Population/community-level
		<ul> <li>Anxiety/fear of results</li> </ul>	outreach
		Embarrassment	<ul> <li>Self-collection (swab, urine)</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>Association with sex</li> </ul>	Provider:
		Prior exam experience	<ul> <li>Provider educational detailing</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>Mistrust of providers/gender</li> </ul>	Provider peer comparison
		Provider:	System:
		<ul> <li>Screening not discussed</li> </ul>	Alternative days/hours
		Provider gender	Mobile/Pop-Up units
		<ul> <li>Guideline non-compliance</li> </ul>	Electronic surveillance call/recall
Management:	Missed 12-month screen	Woman:	Woman:
Follow-up,	follow-ups	Lack of communication	Mass media/local education
Colposcopy,	Missed colposcopy	<ul> <li>Older age &amp; Comorbidities</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>No-cost diagnosis (beyond BCCEDP)</li> </ul>
and Diagnosis	Non-biopsy management	Practical & Financial barriers	<ul> <li>Multi-lingual client navigators</li> </ul>
	Under-diagnosis	<ul> <li>Acculturation/Language</li> </ul>	Provider:
	Over-diagnosis	• Fear of colposcopy	<ul> <li>Provider educational detailing</li> </ul>
	_	<ul> <li>Anxiety/fear of results</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Four-quadrant microbiopsy</li> </ul>
		Missed appointments	<ul> <li>Improved endocervical sampling</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>Non-compliance with referral</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Pathology. risk-predictive biomarkers</li> </ul>
		Recent pregnancy	System:
		• Lower-grade Pap dx	Alternative days/hours
		Provider:	Mobile units
		Guideline non-compliance	Electronic surveillance call/recall
		<ul> <li>Colposcopy is insensitive</li> </ul>	
		• Diagnosis can be inaccurate	

Invasive cervical cancer (ICC) is a disease of the unscreened, under-screened and failures to follow-up abnormalities

In a state-wide population-based study from the New Mexico HPV Pap Registry

- 64% of women with invasive cervical cancer were not screened or had only inadequate screening tests
- Older women (aged 45–64 years) and women with more advanced cancers were less likely to have been screened, and if screened, were more likely to have a false-negative screening test
- Women with adenocarcinomas vs. squamous cell cervical cancers were more likely to have had a negative screening test (72% vs 45%)
- Only 32% of all cervical cancers were screen-detected
- 9% of cancers were diagnosed in women who did not get follow-up with biopsy or treatment recommended after positive screening tests

<u>Gynecol Oncol.</u> 2020 Nov; 159(2): 344–353. <u>Int J Cancer.</u> 2020 Aug 1; 147(3): 887–896 **Opinion - Impacting stagnant cervical cancer survival rates requires bold action to modify the focus of prevention efforts and research directions beyond HPV-based innovations –** 

### **Future research resources should focus on:**

- 1. Scale up of interventions to population- and community- levels (e.g., mass media campaigns to screen, diagnose and treat cervical cancer)
- 2. Implementing bold interventions to screen and follow-up underserved groups by overcoming the barriers of race, language, poverty and geography
- 3. Embracing innovative models of service delivery (e.g., non-traditional provider delivery, selfsampling at community venues – Costco, Walmart, Target, mobile units, community pop-ups)
- 4. Investment in building information systems (state-wide, regional, national) that transcend healthcare systems, clinics, providers and patient locations are needed to support call/recall for screening, diagnosis and cervical pre-cancer treatment.

## Some final comments ...

- The US has many forms of health care delivery (an array of clinicians, hospitals and other health care facilities, insurance plans, and purchasers of health care services, all operating in various configurations of groups, networks, and independent practices)
- "The health care delivery system," as a term <u>suggests an order, integration, and</u> <u>accountability that doesn't exist</u>
- Significant barriers exists for the US to deliver equitable healthcare serving all
- Often the poor and other special populations end up reliant on receiving some of their health care, including cervical cancer preventive care from governmental public health agencies with <u>inadequate resource allocation that needs to be addressed</u>
- The incremental benefits from continued investments in current cervical cancer prevention research cored in HPV-based innovation will likely not address equality and promote empowerment to reduce disparities
- Larger novel extramural funding programs developed through innovative collaborative investigator-initiated programs should extend beyond the current models of funding to enable bold population- and community-scaled interventions.





Data Usage

Data Marts

Provisioning

Policy Laws, regulations, and rules to improve population health

## Thank You !

- Dr. Sarah Temkin
- The Office of Research on Women's Health
- The New Mexico HPV Pap Registry Steering Committee

